UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,873	01/17/2006	Richard Fargo	OT5166;60469-250	3868
	7590 07/31/200 SKEY & OLDS	EXAMINER		
400 W MAPLE	STE 350		KRUER, STEFAN	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/564,873		FARGO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Kruer	3654	

	Stefan Kruer	3654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
The reply filed 23 July 2009 is acknowledged.							
1. The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered because:							
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).							
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).							
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.							
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).							
3. X The reply is entered. An explanation of the status o	f the claims after entry is below o	or attached.					
4. ☑ Other: <i>The reply filed 23 July 2009 to bring the clair Examiner filed 9 July 2009, as well as the miscellaneous</i>							
The status of the claims on appeal is as follows: Claims							
/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654							